arXiv:1401.2115v6 [math.DG] 1 Jun 2015

Mathematical Properties of a Class of Four-dimensional Neutral Signature Metrics

D. Brooks[1], N. Musoke[2], D. McNutt[1], and A. Coley[1]

[1]Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia,
Canada B3H 3J5
[2] Perimeter Institute For Theoretical Physics,
Waterloo, Ontario
dario.a.brooks,ddmcnutt,msknathan@dal.ca, aac@mathstat.dal.ca

June 2, 2015

Abstract

While the Lorenzian and Riemanian metrics for which all polynomial scalar curvature invariants vanish (the VSI property) are well-studied, less is known about the four-dimensional neutral signature metrics with the VSI property. Recently it was shown that the neutral signature metrics belong to two distinct subclasses: the Walker and Kundt metrics. In this paper we have chosen an example from each of the two subcases of the Ricci-flat VSI Walker metrics respectively.

To investigate the difference between the metrics we determine the existence of a null, geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and vorticity-free vector, and classify these spaces using their holonomy algebras. The geometric implications of these algebras are further studied by identifying the recurrent or covariantly constant null vectors, whose existence is required by the holonomy structure in each example. We conclude the paper with a simple example of the equivalence algorithm for these pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, which is the only approach to classification that provides all necessary information to determine equivalence.

Keywords: pseudo-Riemannian manifolds; neutral metrics; holonomy; vanishing scalar curvature invariants; Walker; Kundt; equivalence problem

1 Introduction

Let us consider the four-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian spaces of signature $(2, 2)$, the so called neutral signature; in this paper we will study the mathematical properties of the neutral signature solutions for which all of polynomial invariants formed by the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives vanish. If all polynomial scalar curvature invariants vanish, we say the space has the *VSI property* and is hence a *VSI space* [1]; in analogy with the 4D degenerate Kundt metrics with the VSI property [2, 3] in the Lorentzian case.

Through the investigation of how the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives change under boosts in each of the null planes, it was possible to classify the pseudo-Riemannian spaces through the boost-weight decomposition [4, 5]. It was shown that if a frame is found where the curvature tensors of the space have only negative boost-weight terms (the **N** property) then it is a VSI spacetime. Furthermore, from this result it was shown that the VSI spacetimes were either Kundt (possessing a geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free, and twist-free null-congruence) or Walker (admiting a 2-dimensional invariant null plane [6]) form [5]. In [17] we presented examples of 4D neutral signature VSI metrics which are genuinely Walker spaces (i.e., not Kundt).

To illustrate this dichotomy in the neutral Ricci-flat VSI Walker metrics, we study two distinct subcases, one which is Walker in general [17, 1], and another that is strictly Kundt. We compare these metrics by determining the existence of a null geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free, and vorticity-free vector using the spin-coefficient formalism [9], and then use the Lie algebra classification provided in [7] and [11] to distinguish the two metrics. We show that this classification is well-suited for determining the existence of covariant constant null vectors, recurrent null vectors and more general invariant null distributions, although these comparisons are only helpful for showing when two metrics are not equivalent.

A natural question is to ask when, if at all, are the subcases of the two metrics equivalent. This question can be answered by implementing the equivalence algorithm for neutral metrics. We end this paper with an example of the equivalence algorithm applied to a simple subcase of the Kundt-Walker metric.

2 4D Neutral signature Walker metrics

A metric is said to posses a 2-dimensional invariant plane if there exist null vectors l and m such that the bivector $l \wedge m$ is recurrent; that is,

$$\nabla_a(l \wedge m) = k_a(l \wedge m) \tag{1}$$

for some covector k_a. If these vectors are also null and orthogonal, the invariant plane is called totally null. 4D neutral signature spaces possessing an invariant null plane are known as Walker metrics [6]. In [9], it was shown that a metric has an invariant null plane if and only if there exists a frame in which the spin coefficients $\kappa = \rho = \sigma = \tau = 0$.

In analogy with the Lorentzian case, we will say a metric is Kundt if it possesses a non-zero null vector ℓ which is geodesic, expansion-free, twist-free, and shear-free, which implies a particular form for the covariant derivative of ℓ [5]. This condition implies that there exists a null coframe, $\{n_a, \ell_a, \bar{m}_a, m_a\}$, in which the spin-coefficients are required to satisfy:

$$\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa = \tilde{\rho} = \rho = \tilde{\sigma} = \sigma = 0.$$

Equivalently, if this is the case, the covariant derivative of ℓ_a is of the form

$$\ell_{a;b} = -(\epsilon' - \tilde{\epsilon}')\ell_a\ell_b + (\tilde{\alpha}' + \beta')\ell_a m_b - \tilde{\tau} m_a\ell_b$$

which is automatically geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and vorticity-free.

We are especially interested in the case where the VSI metric is Walker, and hence admits an invariant null plane, but is not Kundt. For Walker metrics in 4D neutral spaces with an invariant 2-dimensional null plane it is always possible to find a null field that is geodesic, expansion-free, vorticity-free (see below). In general, this null vector is not necessarily shear-free. To achieve this, we work with a particular class of VSI-Walker Ricci-flat metrics [17] in the Walker form:

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = 2\mathrm{d}u(\mathrm{d}v + A\mathrm{d}u + C\mathrm{d}U) + 2\mathrm{d}U(\mathrm{d}V + B\mathrm{d}U), \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= vA_1(u,U) + VA_2(u,U) + A_0(u,U), \\ B &= VB_{10}(u,U) + v^2B_{02}(u,U) + vB_{01}(u,U) + B_{00}(u,U) \\ C &= vC_{11}(u,U) + VC_2(u,U) + C_0(u,U) \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining arbitrary functions in the metric are assumed to be analytic.

For the space to be Ricci flat, we must also have that $A_2B_{02} = 0$. This metric does not in general possess the **N**-property, but rather the weaker requirement of the $\mathbf{N}^G$-property: where the boost-weights of the Riemann tensor may be be treated as vectors and transformed into boost-weight vectors satisfying the **N** property [4, 5].

The null tetrad frame $\{\ell, n, m, -\tilde{m}\} = \{l_1, n_1, l_2, n_2\}$ is defined by:

$$l_1 = du, \quad n_1 = dv + A(u,v,U,V)du + \tfrac{C(u,v,U,V)}{2}dU \tag{3}$$

$$l_2 = dU, \quad n_2 = dV + \tfrac{C(u,v,U,V)}{2}du + B(u,v,U,V)dU \tag{4}$$

The invariant null plane is given by the null orthogonal vectors l_1 and l_2:

$$\nabla(l_1 \wedge l_2) = (l_1 \wedge l_2) \otimes \left(\left(\frac{C_2}{2} + A_1 \right) du + \left(B_{10} + \frac{C_{11}}{2} \right) dU \right) \tag{5}$$

confirms that this is a Walker metric.

There are two subcases for $A_2B_{02} = 0$. The Walker-Kundt case $B_{02} \neq 0; A_2 = 0$ was investigated in [17]. Ricci flat solutions in the case $A_2 = C_2 = 0$, $B_{02} \neq 0$ were obtained, and the corresponding holonomy algebra was found to be A_{26} [7], and so our metric has a null two-dimensional distribution containing a recurrent vector field. When $B_{10,u} = 0$, the holonomy algebra reduces to A_{17}, and so the metric has a null two-dimensional distribution containing one parallel vector field.

2.1 Kundt Condition for Walker VSI Metrics

Despite the difference in the geometric structure of the neutral metrics, the definitions of twist, expansion and shear may be generalized to the neutral case. While a physical interpretation analogous to the work of Ehlers, Sachs and Kundt in the Lorentzian case is no longer available, the computation of these quantities still relies on projecting them onto a hyper-surface orthogonal to the null direction. However, this hyper-surface will now be timelike.

As an example, in the case that these quantities vanish for a particular null direction, the surface orthogonal to this null direction ($u = \textit{constant}$, or $U = \textit{constant}$) may be treated as a two-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. This is a

special property, which does not occur for all Ricci-flat VSI Walker metrics, due to non-vanishing shear. To illustrate this point, we explicitly show that the VSI Walker metric given in Theorem 2.1 of [17] generally do not admit a null vector X_a which is geodesic, expansion-free ($X^a_{;a} = 0$), shear-free ($X^{a;b}X_{(a;b)} = 0$) and vorticity-free ($X^{a,b}X_{[a,b]} = 0$).

Proposition 2.1. *A four dimensional neutral signature Walker metric of the form:*

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = 2\mathrm{d}u(\mathrm{d}v + A\mathrm{d}u + C\mathrm{d}U) + 2\mathrm{d}U(\mathrm{d}V + B\mathrm{d}U), \tag{6}$$

with A, B, C of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= vA_1(u,U) + VA_2(u,U) + A_0(u,U), \\ B &= VB_1(u,v,U) + B_0(u,v,U) \\ C &= C_1(u,v,U) + VC_2(u,U) + C_0(u,U), \end{aligned}$$

is Kundt with a null geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and vorticity-free vector X_a which is proportional to:

- *ℓ_a if and only if the metric component A in ℓ_1 in (3) satisfies $A_{,V} = 0$.*
- *m_a if and only if the metric component B in ℓ_2 in (4) satisfies $B_{,v} = B_{,v\ v} = 0$.*

Proof. As in the Lorentzian signature case, we find that that the null geodesic vector must satisfy,

$$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{abcd}X_bX_{c;d} = \omega X^a,$$

where $\omega^2 = X_{[a;b]}X^{a;b}$. Imposing the vorticity-free condition, the above implies X_a is hypersurface orthogonal. Locally, we may choose this vector field to be the gradient of some function $X(u,v,U,V)$. Then by expanding and equating powers of v and V we find that the conditions X be null and geodesic both imply that $X_{,v} = X_{,V} = 0$. Thus $X_{,a} = X_{,U}\ell_a + X_{,u}m_a$ and its covariant derivative is of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{a;b} &= (A_2X_{,U} + A_1X_{,u} + X_{,uu})m_am_b + (C_2X_{,u} + C_{11}X_{,u} + X_{,Uu})[\ell_am_b + m_a\ell_b] \\ &\quad + (X_{,U}B_{10} + 3X_{,u}v^2B_{03} + 2X_{,u}vB_{02} + X_{,u}B_{01} + X_{,UU})\ell_a\ell_b. \end{aligned}$$

Given the vector field X^a we may complete the basis for the tangent space, $\{X^a, Y^a, m^a, \tilde{m}^a\}$ with $X_aY^a = 1$, and assuming X^a is null, geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free, and vorticity free we may write the covariant derivative of X^a as

$$X_{a;b} = L_{11}X_aX_b + L_{12}X_am_b + \tilde{L}_{12}X_a\tilde{m}_b. \tag{7}$$

To identify the terms that must vanish in the covariant derivative of X^a relative to the original basis for the tangent space, we project this tensor to the subspace of the tangent space perpendicular to X^a. For the moment, we will assume that $X_{,U} \neq 0$ and consider the following projection operator:

$$h_a{}^b = g_a{}^b + \frac{\tilde{m}_a X^b}{X_{,U}} + \frac{X_a \tilde{m}^b}{X_{,U}}.$$

As $h_a{}^b X_b = 0$ this will serve to project onto the subspace $\{e_{i'}\}$. Applying this operator to the covariant derivative of X_a, $X_{c;d} h_a{}^c h_b{}^d$, we have one non-zero coefficient of $m_a m_b$, namely the component $X_{c;d} h_a{}^c h_b{}^d \tilde{m}^a \tilde{m}^b$ which is of the form:

$$\begin{gathered} X_{,U}^{-2}[X_{,u}(-2X_{,u}X_{,U}VB_{11} - X_{,u}X_{,U}B_{10} - 3X_{,u}^2V^2B_{03} - 2X_{,u}^2VB_{02}] \\ +X_{,U}^{-2}[-X_{,u}^2B_{01} - X_{,u}X_{,UU} + 2X_{,U}^2C_2 + 2X_{,u}X_{,U}C_{11}] \\ +X_{,U}^{-2}[2X_{,U}X_{,Uu} - A_1X_{,U}^2] - A_2X_{,U}^3 - X_{,uu}X_{,U}^2 \end{gathered}$$

Equating the v and V linear terms we must have $X_{,u} = 0$ or $B_{11} = B_{03} = B_{02} = 0$. For the moment we assume that $X_{,u} = 0$, producing the simpler expression for this coefficient of $m_a m_b$, the vanishing of which requires that A_2 must vanish and X_a be proportional to ℓ_a

Alternatively, assuming $X_{,u} \neq 0$ we may produce a similar projection operator, and then by contracting this new projection operator twice with the covariant derivative of $X_{a;b}$ we find a similar expression to that above

$$\begin{gathered} X_{,u}^{-2}[X_{,u}(-2X_{,u}X_{,U}VB_{11} - X_{,u}X_{,U}B_{10} - 3X_{,u}^2V^2B_{03} - 2X_{,u}^2VB_{02}] \\ +X_{,U}^{-2}[-X_{,u}^2B_{01} - X_{,u}X_{,UU} + 2X_{,U}^2C_2 + 2X_{,u}X_{,U}C_{11}] \\ +X_{,U}^{-2}[2X_{,U}X_{,Uu} - A_1X_{,U}^2] - A_2X_{,U}^3 - X_{,uu}X_{,U}^2 \end{gathered}$$

As before, the vanishing of the v and V linear terms imply that either $X_{,U} = 0$ or $B_{11} = B_{03} = B_{02} = 0$. It is not clear if there is a solution to the partial differential equation for $X(u,U)$ when these three functions vanish, thus we will assume that $X_{,U} = 0$. Simplifying the equations, we find that this metric will be Kundt if and only if $B_{01} = B_{02} = B_{03} = 0$ with X_a proportional to m_a. □

2.2 Example 1: A Non-Kundt Walker Metric

We examine the case in which $B_{02} = 0$ and A_2 may or may not be zero. From section 3.1, when $A_2 = 0$ or $B_{01} = B_{02} = 0$ this is a Kundt metric, and so we will assume that these are non-zero in general.

To satisfy the condition of Ricci flatness, the metric functions must be solutions to the folllowing equations:

$$\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial u} + \mathcal{A}C_2 = 2\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial U} - 2\mathcal{B}A_2, \tag{8}$$

$$2\frac{\partial B_{01}}{\partial u} + \mathcal{A}B_{01} = \frac{\partial C_{11}}{\partial U} + \mathcal{B}C_{11}, \tag{9}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{A}}{\partial U} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}}{\partial u} - 2A_2B_{01} + \frac{1}{2}C_2C_{11} = 0, \tag{10}$$

where $\mathcal{A} \equiv A_1 - \frac{1}{2}C_2$ and $\mathcal{B} \equiv B_{10} - \frac{1}{2}C_{11}$. As a simple example, we set $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = 0$ and obtain a simple solution for (8) and (9):

$$\begin{gathered} A_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}C_2, \quad A_2 = aU + \tfrac{\alpha}{u}, \quad B_{10} = \tfrac{1}{2}C_{11}, \quad B_{01} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{c_2}{U} + du\right), \\ C_2 = 2au + \tfrac{\beta}{U}, \quad C_{11} = \tfrac{c_1}{u} + dU, \end{gathered}$$

where $a, \alpha, \beta, c_1, c_2, d$ are constants. Then the Ricci tensor has one nonzero component:

$$R_{13} = R_{31} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{(\beta c_1 - 2\alpha c_2) + (2ac_1 + \beta d - 2c_2 a - 2\alpha d)uU}{uU}, \qquad (11)$$

which for Ricci flatness gives us algebraic constraints, $\beta c_1 = 2\alpha c_2$ and $2ac_1 + \beta d - 2c_2 a - 2\alpha d = 0$. If $c_1 \neq 0$, we must have $\beta = 2c_2\alpha/c_1$ and $(c_1 - c_2)(a - \alpha d/c_1) = 0$. We chose to work with $a = \alpha d/c_1$, and assume c_1 is nonzero and $c_1 \neq c_2$ in order to make later calculations more manageable.

When c_1 vanishes, the Ricci-flat conditions produce five possible subcases where some of the constants must vanish or satisfy an identity:

- $\alpha = 0$, and $\beta = \frac{2ac_2\alpha}{d}$.
- $\alpha = 0$, d = 0, and a = 0.
- $\alpha = 0$, d = 0, and $c_2 = 0$.
- $c_2 = 0$, and d = 0.
- $c_2 = 0$, and $\beta = 2\alpha$.

while if c_1 is non-zero and $c_2 = c_1$ we find one more case:

- $\beta = 2\alpha$

The exact form of the two recurrent vectors for these subcases are not discussed in this paper, however, the analysis will be similar to the case studied in this paper.

2.3 Example 2: A Kundt Walker Metric

To provide a simple example of the equivalence algorithm, we consider a case that is automatically Kundt and Ricci-flat, with $B_{02} \neq 0$ and $A_2 = C_2 = 0$; ensuring that this is indeed a Walker-Kundt metric, with only one null, geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and vorticity free vector. Imposing the Ricci-flat conditions we have the following expressions for the metric functions:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \frac{1}{8}\frac{-2B_{10}C_{11} - 4A_1B_{01} + 4B_{01,u} - 2C_{11,U} + C_{11}^2}{B_{02}} \\ A_1 &= \frac{1}{2}[log(B_{02})]_{,u} \\ C_{11} &= 2B_{10} + [logB_{02}]_{,U} + G(U) \end{aligned}$$

where A_0 has not been fully expanded in order to display it compactly. With these metric functions, the components of the Riemann tensor are now:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{1224} &= R_{2434} = B_{10,u} \\ R_{2323} &= 2B_{02} \\ R_{2424} &= -C_{0,Uu} + B_{00,uu} - 3v^2A_1B_{02,u} - 2v^2A_{1,u}B_{02} - B_{10}vC_{11,u} + B_{10}vA_{1,U} \\ &\quad + A_1VB_{10,u} - A_1B_{10}C_0 + 2v^2A_1^2B_{02} + B_{10}C_{11}A_0 + (1/2)vC_{11}C_{11,u} \\ &\quad - 4A_0vB_{02,u} - 2A_{0,u}vB_{02} - vA_1B_{01,u} - vA_{1,u}B_{01} + 4vA_1B_{02}A_0 + A_{0,UU} \\ &\quad + v^2B_{02,uu} + VB_{10,uu} - 2A_0B_{01,u} - A_{0,u}B_{01} - B_{10}C_{0,u} - B_{10,u}C_0 \\ &\quad - A_1C_{0,U} - A_{1,U}C_0 + vA_{1,U,U} + C_{11}A_{0,U} + C_{11,U}A_0 + 2A_0^2B_{02} \\ &\quad + (1/2)C_{11,u}C_0 - vC_{11,Uu} + vB_{01,uu} + A_1B_{00,u} + B_{10}A_{0,U} - B_{10,u}vC_{11} \end{aligned}$$

For the remainder of the paper, the component R_{2424} will be denoted as Ψ. It should be remarked that when the Ricci-flat conditions are imposed Ψ is independent of v and V. In the current form it is not entirely clear that this is the case.

3 Holonomy Algebras for Neutral Walker Metrics

From theorem 8.5 in [10], the holonomy group must preserve the inner product of the neutral metric, and so this group will be a subgroup of the generalized orthogonal group O(2,2), and the holonomy algebras will be subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$, with members of ϕ represented as 2-forms. Alternatively we may represent the elements of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ as (1,1) tensors by raising one index of the 2-form representation of each element.

In [7] a classification of all possible Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ is given by exploiting the isomorphism between $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(1,1) \times \mathfrak{su}(1,1)$, producing 32 possible classes of Lie subalgebras. Using this classification, the authors were able to examine 31 of the 32 cases and determine whether the subalgebra in each case is achieved for a particular neutral metric in four dimensions as a holonomy algebra. The remaining case, A_{13}, was shown to be the holonomy algebra of a neutral metric [15, 16].

The geometric structure was determined for each subalgebra and summarized in Table II of [7]. This approach relied upon the existence of a Lie algebra isomorphism and the known structure of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$ to enumerate all possibilities. In the neutral 4D case, if the distribution is 2D null, it need not contain an invariant null line implying that a Walker space is not necessarily a Kundt space. If a space admits an one dimensional holonomy invariant distribution (an invariant null-line) it is automatically a special Kundt spacetime admitting a recurrent vector, and admits an invariant null plane containing the null line. Thus, a Walker space admitting an invariant one-dimensional distribution must contain an invariant 2D distribution.

There is an alternative approach based on geometric and algebraic considerations for the neutral metric manifolds. This formalism was used in Wang and Hall [11] to classify the holonomy subalgebras in order to study the problem of projectively related manifolds sharing similar holonomy groups.

For an arbitrary *orthonormal basis* for the tangent space of M at m, T_mM, satsifying $g_m(x,x) = g_m(y,y) = -g_m(s,s) = -g_m(t,t) = 1$, the elements of the six-dimensional vector space of 2-forms at m, Λ_mM may be represented as tensors of type (2,0), (1,1) or (0,2). Raising the indices we call members of Λ_mM *bivectors* and express these in component form as: $F \in \Lambda_mM$, $F \leftrightarrow F^{ab}(= -F^{ba})$. The bivector representation of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ is the Lie algebra $\{\alpha \in M_4\mathbb{R} : \alpha\epsilon + (\alpha\epsilon)^T\}$ with $\epsilon = diag(1,1,-1,-1)$ and T denoting matrix transpose. There is a natural metric P on Λ_mM for which the inner product $P(F,G)$ of $F, G \in \Lambda_mM$ is $F^{ab}G_{ab} = P_{abcd}F^{ab}G^{cd}$, with $P_{abcd} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{ac}g_{bd} - g_{ad}g_{bc})$.

Due to the anti-symmetry of the indices, any $F \in \Lambda_mM$ will have even rank when expressed as a matrix. Furthermore, as the dimension of the manifold is four, the rank of any non-zero member of Λ_mM is two or four. If the rank of F is two we say the bivector F is *simple*, while if the rank is four F is

called *non-simple*. If F is simple one may write $F^{ab} = p^a q^b - q^a p^b = 2p^a \wedge q^b$, where $p, q \in T_m M$. By algebraically classifying the simple and non-simple elements, one is able to identify the possible subalgebras in terms of two simpler subalgebras, $S_m^+ = \{F \in \Lambda_m M : F^* = F\}$ and $S_m^- = \{F \in \Lambda_m M : F^* = -F\}$ [11], where F^* denotes the dual operator.

Noting that $\Lambda_m M = S_m^+ \oplus S_m^-$, the authors enumerate all possible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ in bivector form by examining the subalgebras of S_m^+ and S_m^-, producing a list of potential subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ [11], with basis vectors taken from the following list of bivectors:

$$F_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}(l \wedge n - L \wedge N),\ F_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(l \wedge N),\quad F_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}(n \wedge L);$$
$$G_1 = \tfrac{1}{2}(l \wedge n + L \wedge N),\ G_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(l \wedge L),\quad G_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}(n \wedge N).$$

Here, $F_i \in S^+$ and $G_i \in S^-$ for $i \in [1,3]$.

With all possible subalgebras of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ identified, we may consider the holonomy group of (M, g), Φ, and holonomy algebra ϕ. We will study a particular subalgebra of ϕ at each point in the manifold, the infinitesimal holonomy algebra at $m \in M$, ϕ'_m, arising from contractions of the curvature tensor, $R^a{}_{bcd}X^cY^d$, $R^a{}_{bcd;e}X^cY^dZ^3$, and so on; where $X, Y, Z, ... \in T_m M$. The unique connected group generated by ϕ'_m is a subgroup of the holonomy group Φ at each point of the manifold, and it is known as the infinitesimal holonomy group Φ'_m [10]. If (M,g) is simply connected and analytic Φ'_m for each point in M, and Φ will coincide.

In general, the bivector representation of ϕ'_m as a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{o}(2,2)$ is important as well. This is due to the Ambrose-Singer theorem which states that by computing all of the curvature two-forms from the curvature tensor, and parallel transporting them to a point, m, of the manifold, the resulting collection of two-forms at m span the holonomy algebra.

The classification by Ghanam and Thompson [7] requires that the manifold, metric and connection are analytic to ensure that the Lie algebra of the holonomy group can be computed point-wise from the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. In the current work we will impose this condition on the manifold, metric and connection for this reason.

With the holonomy algebras we may identify any recurrent vectors that are admitted by the metric. If there exists a vector $0 \neq \mathbf{k} \in T_m M$ such that $\mathbf{k}$ is an eigenvector of each member of ϕ, then m admits a coordinate neighbourhood U, and a nowhere zero vector field $\mathbf{K}$ on U which agrees with $\mathbf{K}$ at m, and is such that $\mathbf{K}$ is recurrent on U [10].

3.1 Example 1

We calculate the infinitesimal holonomy algebra in the null tetrad basis (3 - 4) with metric functions satisfying (8 - 9). To do so, we need only contract the Riemann tensor with bivectors constructed from the null tetrad vectors [12], as the covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor do not introduce any new elements of the Lie algebra. The matrices with indices lowered are presented,

since these have a simpler form:

$$R_{abcd}l_1{}^c n_1{}^d = \xi(l_1 \wedge l_2)_{ab} \tag{12}$$
$$R_{abcd}l_1{}^c l_2{}^d = R_{abcd}l_1{}^c n_2{}^d = R_{abcd}n_1{}^c l_2{}^d = 0 \tag{13}$$
$$R_{abcd}n_1{}^c n_2{}^d = \xi(l_1 \wedge n_1 - l_2 \wedge n_2 - \zeta(l_1 \wedge l_2))_{ab} \tag{14}$$
$$R_{abcd}l_2{}^c n_2{}^d = \xi(l_1 \wedge l_2)_{ab}, \tag{15}$$

where $\xi = \frac{c_1^2u^2-2\alpha c_2x^2}{2c_1u^2x^2}$ and ζ is a complicated expression.

Taking linear combinations of these, we find that our infinitesimal holonomy algebra is spanned by $\{l_1 \wedge n_1 - l_2 \wedge n_2, l_1 \wedge l_2\}$ at each point in the manifold, corresponding to Wang and Hall's subalgebra 2(d) [11]. For the holonomy subalgebra $2(d)$, $\phi =< F_1, G_2 >$, with $|F_1| = -1$ and $|G_2| = 0$.

From theorem 8.6 in [10], this metric admits two recurrent vectors ℓ and L as these are shared eigenvectors of F_1 and G_2, with differing eigenvalues. Due to the symmetrization of the two-form representations of the Lie algebra members in the Riemann tensor, this implies the vectors ℓ and L may be seen as eigenvectors with "eigen two-forms" proportional to the Lie algebra members.

As matrices in the null tetrad basis with the first index up, we may use the Jordan normal form to show that these two matrices are equivalent to the subcase A_{10} in [7]. We have found a holonomy algebra isomorphic to A_{10} which, corresponds to a metric containing two recurrent vectors [7]. A vector l is called recurrent if $\nabla l = l \otimes \omega$ for some one-form ω [10].

It is worthwhile to consider when the infinitesimal holonomy algebra becomes one-dimensional at all points in the manifold, that is, when $\xi = \frac{c_1^2u^2-2\alpha c_2x^2}{2c_1u^2x^2} = 0$. This occurs when c_1 vanishes and either $a = 0$ or $c_2 = 0$. There are three possible cases where this can happen

1. Case 1: $c_1 = \alpha = \beta = a = 0$
2. Case 2: $c_1 = \alpha = \beta = c_2 = 0$
3. Case 3: $c_1 = c_2 = d = \beta = 0$

According to theorem 4.6 in [7], as each of these subcases admit a one-dimensional holonomy algebra A_9, each of these subcases admit two covariantly constant null vectors. This condition implies that these Walker metrics are Kundt with two null geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free and vorticity-free vectors. For this particular example, this implies there is a coordinate system where A_2 and B_{01} both vanish. Looking at the five examples, it is not clear that one has found the appropriate coordinate system as only one of A_2 or B_{01} vanishes in cases 1,2,4 and 5, while in case 3 neither function vanishes. This question cannot be answered by comparing holonomy algebras alone, one must examine these subcases in the context of the equivalence algorithm.

3.2 Example 2

With the Riemann components computed, we may contract with frame vectors to determine the infinitesimal holonomy Lie algebra. Despite the differing order of variables, i.e., $\{V, v, U, u\}$ instead of $\{u, v, U, V\}$, we may compare the matrices arising from the curvature tensor with those in [7]. We note that the

covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor introduce no new members of the Lie algebra.

When $B_{10,u} \neq 0$ the Lie algebra is three dimensional, one may use the Jordan canonical form to show that this is equivalent to A_{26} with $\alpha = 0$ in [7]. If $B_{10,u} = 0$ there is a bifurcation and the Lie algebra is two dimensional, and hence is equivalent to A_{17} in [7] Finally, if $B_{10,u} = \Psi = 0$ the Lie algebra is equivalent to the one-dimensional Lie algebra A_9.

According to Table II in [7], when $B_{02} \neq 0$, $\Psi \neq 0$, and $B_{10_u} \neq 0$, we find that the infinitesimal holonomy algebra corresponds to A_{26} at each point in the manifold, and so our metric has a null two-dimensional distribution containing a recurrent vector field. When $B_{02} \neq 0$, $\Psi \neq 0$, and $B_{10_u} = 0$, we find that the holonomy algebra corresponds to A_{17}, implying that our metric has a null two-dimensional distribution containing one parallel vector field. We expect that ∂_V will be recurrent because it is an eigenvector of each of the members of the holonomy algebra. Calculating the covariant derivative of ∂_V, we find that $\nabla\partial_V = B_{10}\partial_V dU$, as expected. It is also clear that ∂_V becomes parallel when $B_{10} = 0$. If $B_{10,u}$ and Ψ both vanish, the null two-dimensional distribution contains two parallel vector fields.

4 Holonomy and Recurrent Vectors

Theorem 8.6 in [10] suggests that in order to find the recurrent vectors, we should calculate the eigenvectors of the elements of the holonomy algebra. In the tetrad basis, we find that each of the tetrad vectors is an eigenvector of $l_1 \wedge n_1 + l_2 \wedge n_2$, but only $l_1 = \partial_v$ and $l_2 = \partial_V$ are eigenvectors of $l_1 \wedge l_2$. The theorem tells us that for each $m \in M$ there exist two recurrent vector fields on M: one having the value ∂_v at m and one having the value ∂_V at m. Thus, we look for two recurrent vectors: one of the form $\boldsymbol{\ell}_1 = \partial_v + f(u, v, U, V)\partial_V$ and another of the form $\boldsymbol{\ell}_2 = h(u, v, U, V)\partial_v + \partial_V$.

We first take the covariant derivative of $\partial_v + f(u, v, U, V)\partial_V$ to find conditions on f that give recurrence for $\nabla(\ell_1)$ as:

$$\alpha\tfrac{f(duU^2+c_1U)+du^2U+uc_2}{c_1uU}\partial_v \otimes du + \tfrac{2f\alpha(u^2dU+uc_2)+c_1^2U+c_1duU^2}{2c_1uU}\partial_v \otimes dU +$$
$$\tfrac{2f\alpha(du^2U+uc_2)+c_1^2U+c_1duU^2+2f_uc_1uU}{2c_1uU}\partial_V \otimes du + \tfrac{f(c_1U+duU^2)+c_2u+du^2U+2f_UuU}{2uU}\partial_V \otimes dU$$
$$+f_v\partial_V \otimes dv + f_V\partial_V \otimes dV.$$

Thus we must have $f(u, v, U, V) = f(u, U)$ and $f(u, U)$ must satisfy a system of two partial differential equations:

$$\alpha\tfrac{f(duU^2+c_1U)+du^2U+uc_2}{c_1uU} = \tfrac{1}{f}\tfrac{2f\alpha(du^2U+uc_2)+c_1^2U+c_1duU^2+2f_uc_1uU}{2c_1uU}$$
$$\tfrac{2f\alpha(u^2dU+uc_2)+c_1^2U+c_1duU^2}{2c_1uU} = \tfrac{1}{f}\tfrac{f(c_1U+duU^2)+c_2u+du^2U+2f_UuU}{2uU}. \qquad (16)$$

After some algebra, these become

$$f^2\alpha\left(\tfrac{duU}{c_1}+1\right) - \tfrac{c_1}{2} - \tfrac{duU}{2} - uf_u = 0, \qquad (17)$$
$$f^2\alpha\left(\tfrac{duU}{c_1}+\tfrac{c_2}{c_1}\right) - \tfrac{c_2}{2} - \tfrac{duU}{2} - Uf_U = 0. \qquad (18)$$

Assuming $\alpha \neq 0$, these equations have a solution:

$$f_{k_0}(u,U) = -\sqrt{\tfrac{c_1}{2\alpha}}\tanh\left(\sqrt{\tfrac{\alpha}{2c_1}}\left(c_1\ln|u| + c_2\ln|U| + duU + k_0\right)\right)$$

where k_0 is an arbitrary constant. This solution gives a one-parameter family of recurrent vectors; if we choose any k_1 and k_2 such that $k_1 \neq k_2$, then $f_{k_1}(u,U) \neq f_{k_2}(u,U)$, and so the recurrent vectors $\partial_v + f_{k_1}(u,U)\partial_V$ and $\partial_v + f_{k_2}(u,U)\partial_V$ are linearly independent. Repeating the above for $\boldsymbol{\ell}_2$, we find $h_{k_0'}(u,U) = \frac{2\alpha}{c_1} f_{k_0'}(u,U)$. However, choosing $k_0' = k_0 + i\pi\sqrt{\frac{c_1}{2\alpha}} \in \mathbb{C}$ and noting that $\tanh(x + i\pi/2) = 1/\tanh(x)$, we find that each $h_{k_0'}(u,U)$ corresponds to $h_{k_0}(u,U) = \frac{1}{f_{k_0}(u,U)}$. These solutions are not quite as different from those for $\boldsymbol{\ell}_1$ as they initially appear. If $m \in M$ corresponds to (u_0, v_0, U_0, V_0), then choosing $k_0 = -c_1\ln|u_0| + c_2\ln|U_0| + du_0U_0$ and $k_0' = k_0$ gives $f_{k_0}(u_0,v_0,U_0,V_0) = h_{k_0'}(u_0,v_0,U_0,V_0) = 0$ and so our recurrent vectors are such that $[\partial_v + f_{k_0}\partial_V]|_m = \partial_v$ and $[h_{k_0}\partial_v + \partial_V]|_m = \partial_V$, as Theorem 8.6 [10] predicts.

When $\alpha = 0$, (17) and (18) have the solution

$$\widetilde{f_{k_0}}(u,U) = -\tfrac{duU + c_1\ln|u| + c_2\ln|U| + k_0}{2} = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} f_{k_0} \quad . \tag{19}$$

The corresponding partial differential equations for h have the following solution

$$\widetilde{h_{k_0}}(u,U) = \tfrac{1}{\widetilde{f_{k_0}}(u,U)} = \lim_{\alpha\to 0} h_{k_0}. \tag{20}$$

4.1 Recurrent Vectors in a Kundt Subcase

As a simple example, we show that in the Kundt subcase where $\alpha = 0$, the tetrad vector $l_2 = \partial_V$ is a Kundt vector. We know that l_2 is null since it is a null tetrad member. $\nabla_{l_2} l_2 = 0$ implies that l_2 is geodesic. It is a simple task to verify that the covariant derivative of l_2 is of the form (7)

The above recurrent and Kundt vectors were obtained under the assumption (from (11)) that $a = \alpha d/c_1$ (including $c_1 = c_2$). Now the only case not examined is $a \neq \alpha d/c_1$ and $c_1 = c_2$ ($c_1 \neq 0$). Resuming from (11) and assuming $c_1 = c_2$ instead of $a = \alpha d/c_1$ results in the same holonomy algebras. The recurrent vectors are found similarly, with $f(u,U)$ and $h(u,U)$ satisfying the partial differential equations:

$$f^2\left(aU + \tfrac{\alpha}{u}\right) - \tfrac{c_2}{2u} - \tfrac{dU}{2} - f_u = 0, \quad f^2\left(au + \tfrac{\alpha}{U}\right) - \tfrac{c_2}{2U} - \tfrac{du}{2} - f_U = 0,$$
$$h^2\tfrac{1}{2}\left(dU + \tfrac{c_2}{u}\right) - aU - \tfrac{\alpha}{u} - H_u = 0, \quad h^2\tfrac{1}{2}\left(du + \tfrac{c_2}{U}\right) - au - \tfrac{\alpha}{U} - H_U = 0.$$

Evidently, when $A_2 = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow a = \alpha = 0$), these differential equations reduce to those found previously and so the recurrent vectors are the same as (19) and (20), so we find that we have a Kundt vector once again.

5 The Equivalence Algorithm for a Kundt Neutral Signature Walker Metric

As in the case of the Lorentzian signature, the equivalence of neutral VSI metrics in general, may be determined using the Cartan algorithm [8, 13]. The

goal of the equivalence algorithm is the computation of a finite list of invariants arising from the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives which has been normalized by fixing all frame transformations affecting the form of the curvature tensor and its covariant derivatives. To begin the equivalence algorithm for this particular class of neutral metrics, we determine the effect of the frame transformations on the Riemann tensor. This may be done by computing the effect of each null rotation about ℓ_1, n_1, ℓ_2 and n_2 along with the effect of boosts in the (ℓ_1, n_1) and (ℓ_2, n_2) planes on the spin-coefficients, as these quantities are of vital importance when computing the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor.

For the Ricci-flat VSI Walker metrics, we may always fix the boost parameters so that two components of the curvature tensor are constant. Thus the null rotations are left as potential members of the zeroth order isotropy group. After recording the number of functionally independent invariants that appear at zeroth order, we proceed to compute the first covariant derivative of the curvature tensor.

From the components of this rank five tensor we may determine the first order Cartan invariants by fixing all frame transformations that affect the form of the first order covariant derivative of the curvature tensor, and identify all new functionally independent and dependent invariants that appear at first order after this process. The algorithm continues each iteration by computing higher order covariant derivatives of the tensor and identifying the isotropy group and functionally independent invariants at each order. The algorithm stops when it reaches the q-th iteration for which the dimension of the isotropy group and number of functionally independent invariants does not change from iteration $q-1$ to q.

In general it is not known how many iterations are required to compute the entire list of invariants for a particular metric. The theoretical upper-bound introduced by Cartan limits the number of iterations required to classify an arbitrary neutral metric; this upper-bound is determined by the largest isotropy subgroup of the Riemann curvature tensor $\tilde{s}_0$ which must be less than six for spacetimes which are not locally homogeneous:

$$q \leq n + \tilde{s}_0 + 1 = 4 + 5 + 1 = 10$$

In the case of the Ricci-flat VSI neutral metrics, we may fix the two real-valued boost parameters to set two components of the curvature tensor to be constant, this reduces the upper-bound from ten to eight. In the Ricci-flat VSI Kundt-Walker metric this may be reduced further as the isotropy group consists of the two-dimensional null rotations about a particular null vector

$$q \leq n + s_0 + 1 = 4 + 2 + 1 = 7.$$

This is the standard upper-bound for Lorentzian metrics, however this has only been achieved for a simple subcase of the neutral metrics. An effective lowering of the upper-bound for all neutral metrics would require a classification akin to the Petrov classification for Lorentzian metrics.

We now describe in detail the equivalence algorithm outline in [17] for those Ricci-flat Walker metrics with $B_{02} \neq 0$ and $A_2 = C_2 = 0$ with the following conditions on the remaining metric functions.

$$B_{10} = f(U), B_{00} = 0, B_{02} = e^{W(u)} e^{Z(U)}.$$

The metric functions A_0, A_1 and C_{11} now become:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= \frac{1}{8}\frac{-2B_{10}C_{11} - 4A_1B_{01} - 2C_{11,U} + C_{11}^2}{B_{02}} \\ A_1 &= \frac{1}{2}W_{,u} \\ C_{11} &= 2B_{10} + Z_{,U} + G(U). \end{aligned}$$

The non-zero components of the Riemann tensor are:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2323} &= 2B_{02} \\ R_{2424} &= -C_{0,Uu} + B_{00,uu} - 3v^2A_1B_{02,u} - 2v^2A_{1,u}B_{02} - B_{10}vC_{11,u} \\ &\quad +B_{10}vA_{1,U} - A_1B_{10}C_0 + 2v^2A_1^2B_{02} + B_{10}C_{11}A_0 \\ &\quad +(1/2)vC_{11}C_{11,u} - 4A_0vB_{02,u} - 2A_{0,u}vB_{02} - vA_1B_{01,u} - vA_{1,u}B_{01} \\ &\quad +4vA_1B_{02}A_0 + A_{0,UU} + v^2B_{02,uu} + VB_{10,uu} - 2A_0B_{01,u} - A_{0,u}B_{01} \\ &\quad -B_{10}C_{0,u} - A_1C_{0,U} - A_{1,U}C_0 + vA_{1,U,U} + C_{11}A_{0,U} + C_{11,U}A_0 \\ &\quad +2A_0^2B_{02} + (1/2)C_{11,u}C_0 - vC_{11,Uu} + vB_{01,uu} + A_1B_{00,u} + B_{10}A_{0,U}, \end{aligned}$$

where again we will denote R_{2424} as Ψ.

Since we may fix the components of the Riemann curvature tensor to constants by performing boosts in both the (ℓ_1, n_1) and (ℓ_2, n_2) null planes, with $z_1 = A$, $z_2 = B$ (see Appendix for transformation rules) as boost parameters:

$$z_1^2 = \frac{z_2^2}{2B_{02}}, \quad z_2^4 = \frac{2B_{02}}{\Psi} \tag{21}$$

no new functionally independent invariants appear at zeroth order [8, 13]. Thus we must compute the first covariant derivative of the curvature tensor, which requires knowledge of the spin-coefficients.

The non-vanishing spin coefficients arising from the metric coframe are:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= f(U) + \frac{1}{4}(G(U) + Z_{,U}) \\ \sigma' &= \frac{1}{2}C_{11}A_0 - \frac{1}{2}vC_{11,u} - \frac{1}{2}C_{0,u} + vA_{1,U} + A_{0,U} - \frac{1}{2}A_1C_0, \\ \kappa' &= -\frac{1}{2}B_{10}vC_{11} - \frac{1}{2}B_{10}C_0 - 2v^2A_1B_{02} - vA_1B_{01} - 2A_0vB_{02} - A_0B_{01} + VB_{10,u}, \\ &\quad +v^2B_{02,u} + vB_{01,u} + vB_{01,u} + B_{00,u} - \frac{1}{2}vC_{11,U} - \frac{1}{2}C_{0,U} + \frac{1}{4}vC_{11}^2 + \frac{1}{4}C_{11}C_0, \\ \beta' &= \frac{1}{4}W_{,u}, \\ \tilde{\beta} &= -\frac{1}{4}W_{,u}, \\ \tilde{\gamma} &= -\frac{1}{2}(Z_{,U} + G(U)), \\ \tilde{\rho}' &= -f(U) - \frac{1}{2}f_{,U} - \frac{1}{2}G(u) \\ \tilde{\kappa}' &= 2ve^{W(u)+f(U)} + B_{01} \end{aligned}$$

We notice that, as we have chosen that $\ell_a n^a = 1$ and $m_a\tilde{m}^a = -1$, we have the following relationships between spin-coefficients

$$\epsilon = -\gamma', \alpha = \beta', \beta = \alpha', \gamma = -\epsilon', \tilde{\epsilon} = -\tilde{\gamma}', \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}', \tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\alpha}', \tilde{\gamma} = -\tilde{\epsilon}'. \tag{22}$$

Thus, of the thirty-two spin-coefficients we may concentrate on twenty-four of them instead.

Performing boosts in both the (ℓ_1, n_1) and (ℓ_2, n_2) null planes and denoting our boosted spin coefficients with a subscript B (where κ_B would be the spin coefficient κ after boosts in the (ℓ_1, n_1) and (ℓ_2, n_2) null planes, we find the non-vanishing transformed spin coefficients to be

$$\alpha'_B = -\tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{z_{1,U}}{z_1 z_2} + \tfrac{z_{2,U}}{z_2^2}\right),\ \alpha_B = \tfrac{1}{2} z_2 \alpha,\ \tilde{\alpha}'_B = \tfrac{1}{2} z_2 \tilde{\alpha}',\ \tilde{\alpha}_B = \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{z_{2,U}}{z_2^2} - \tfrac{z_{1,U}}{z_1 z_2}\right) \quad (23)$$

$$\gamma_B = z_1 \gamma,\ \gamma'_B = \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{z_{1,u}}{z_1^2} + \tfrac{z_{2,u}}{z_1 z_2}\right),\ \tilde{\gamma}_B = \tilde{\gamma},\ \tilde{\gamma}'_B = \tfrac{1}{4}\left(\tfrac{z_{1,u}}{z_1^2} - \tfrac{z_{2,u}}{z_1 z_2}\right) \quad (24)$$

$$\sigma'_B = \tfrac{1}{2} z_1 z_2^2 \sigma',\ \kappa'_B = \tfrac{1}{2} z_1^2 z_2 \kappa',\ \tilde{\rho}'_B = \tfrac{1}{2} z_1 \tilde{\rho}',\ \tilde{\kappa}'_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\tfrac{z_1^2 \tilde{\kappa}'}{z_2^2} \quad (25)$$

From the components of this rank-five tensor, we may solve for the following boosted spin-coefficients [10] as first-order Cartan invariants:

$$\{\rho, \tau, \kappa, \sigma, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\kappa}, \alpha, \alpha', \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}', \gamma, \gamma', \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}'\}$$

Of which, the following are non-zero:

$$\{\alpha, \alpha', \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}', \gamma, \gamma', \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\gamma}'\}$$

The remaining isotropy at first order consists of null rotations about ℓ_1, as null rotations about n_1, ℓ_2, and n_2 change the number of non-zero components of the Riemann tensor, and thus do not belong to the first-order isotropy group. Computing null rotations about ℓ_1 and denoting boosted and rotated spin coefficients with a subscript R, we obtain the following list of non-vanishing transformed spin coefficients (with z_3, z_4 rotation parameters):

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_R = \alpha_B + z_3 \gamma'_B & \gamma_R = z_3 z_4 \gamma'_B - z_3 \alpha'_B + z_4 \beta'_B + \gamma_B \\
\alpha'_R = \alpha'_B - z_4 \gamma'_B & \gamma'_R = \gamma'_B \\
\tilde{\alpha}_R = \tilde{\alpha_B} - z_4 \tilde{\gamma_B}' & \tilde{\gamma}_R = z_3 z_4 \tilde{\gamma}'_B - z_3 \tilde{\beta}'_B + z_4 \tilde{\alpha}'_B + \tilde{\gamma}_B \\
\tilde{\alpha}'_R = \tilde{\alpha_B}' + z_3 \tilde{\gamma_B}' & \tilde{\gamma}'_R = \tilde{\gamma}'_B
\end{array}$$

$$\tau_R = 0,\ \ \tilde{\tau}_R = 0,\ \ \rho_R = 0,\ \ \tilde{\rho}_R = 0,\ \ \sigma_R = 0,\ \ \tilde{\sigma}_R = 0,\ \ \kappa_R = 0,\ \ \tilde{\kappa}_R = 0$$

As γ'_B and $\tilde{\gamma_B}'$ are unaffected by the null rotation, they are invariant under such a transformation; that is, $\gamma'_B = \gamma'_R$ and $\tilde{\gamma_B}' = \tilde{\gamma_R}'$. Furthermore, their vanishing or non-vanishing affects the transformation rules for the remaining first order invariants, and hence indicates possible subcases.

In the present work, we examine a simple subcase in order to present a complete application of the equivalence algorithm. We will assume that the following spin-coefficients are equal to zero:

$$\{\alpha_B, \alpha'_B, \tilde{\alpha}_B, \tilde{\alpha}'_B, \gamma'_B, \tilde{\gamma}'_B\}.$$

The vanishing of these spin-coefficients produce the following conditions on the metric functions:

$$z_{1,u} = z_{1,U} = z_{2,u} = z_{2,U} = 0.$$

The components of the Riemann tensor (21) are constant, implying that $Z_{,U} = W_{,u} = 0$, and so the metric function A_1 vanishes. The constancy of the curvature

component Ψ requires that that C_0 satisfies a complicated partial differential equation.

Simplifying the above expressions for our boosted and rotated spin coefficients, we obtain two non-zero first order invariants:

$$\{\gamma_B, \tilde{\gamma}'_B\}.$$

However, since $\beta'_B = \alpha'_B = \tilde{\alpha_B}' = \tilde{\beta_B}' = 0$, our new first order invariants are unchanged under null rotations, and so we cannot fix all of our isotropy after first order. The dimension of the isotropy group after first order $dim(I) = 2$, and we must proceed to second order.

Taking the second order covariant derivative of the Riemann tensor, we may simplify the components of this rank six tensor to produce the following set of second order curvature invariants

$$\{\gamma_B, \tilde{\gamma}_B, D\gamma_B, \delta\gamma_B, D\tilde{\gamma_B}, \delta\tilde{\gamma_B}, \Delta\tilde{\gamma_B}, \Delta\gamma_B, D'\gamma_B, D'\tilde{\gamma_B}\}$$

Since we have that γ_B and $\tilde{\gamma}_B$ are functions of U alone, all of their derivatives taken with respect to u, v, and V vanish, the frame derivatives simplify to $D\gamma_B = \delta\gamma_B = D\tilde{\gamma_B} = \delta\tilde{\gamma_B} = \Delta\gamma_B = \Delta\tilde{\gamma_B} = 0$, and $D'\gamma_B = \partial_U\gamma_B, D'\tilde{\gamma_B} = \partial_U\tilde{\gamma_B}$. The scalars γ_B and $\tilde{\gamma_B}$ are invariants, they are expressions not involving z_3 or z_4; that is,

$$D'\gamma_B = D'[\gamma_B] = \partial_U[\gamma_B],$$
$$D'\tilde{\gamma_B} = D'[\tilde{\gamma_B}] = \partial_U[\tilde{\gamma_B}].$$

We cannot manipulate these equations to find conditions on z_3 and z_4, and so the dimension of the isotropy group after second order remains 2. The algorithm terminates at the second iteration, as $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ and $dimH_1 = dimH_2 = 2$. The resulting list of invariants up to second order allows one to completely classify these spaces, and no further iterations of the algorithm will yield no new information.

6 Discussion

We have investigated the mathematical properties of a class of four-dimensional neutral signature metrics, with vanishing scalar curvature invariants (VSI). We examined a collection of metrics which satisfy the VSI-property and are distinct from the Kundt class. To discuss the difference in the neutral Ricci-flat Walker metrics with vanishing scalar curvature invariants, we compared two analytic metrics with different 2-dimensional holonomy algebras: one which is generally Walker but not Kundt, and a second that is always Kundt.

By giving conditions for the existence of a null geodesic, expansion-free, shear-free, and vorticity-free vector for Walker metrics we were able to compare the two examples. Then, using the Lie algebra classification provided in [7] and [11], we explicitly identified the geometrically special vectors that arise from the holonomy algebra in each example. This classification is well-suited for determining the existence of invariant null distributions, recurrent vectors and covariantly constant null vectors; however it is not fine enough to determine the equivalence of metrics. As an example it is clear that the two metrics are

inequivalent as they have distinct two-dimensional Lie algebras, yet both metrics contain a subcase for which these Lie algebras become one-dimensional. This is notable as all one-dimensional Lie algebras are equivalent to the Lie algebra for a metric admitting two covariantly constant null vectors, implying that this metric is doubly Kundt.

A natural question is to ask when, if at all, are the subcases of the two metrics equivalent. This question can only be resolved by implementing the equivalence algorithm for neutral metrics, which is a non-trivial task. We have provided a simple example of the equivalence algorithm applied to a subcase of the Kundt-Walker metric, which parallels the plane-wave spacetimes in the Lorentzian case. We have shown that neutral signature "plane waves" require the same number of covariant derivatives as their Lorentzian counterparts. It is unknown whether this holds for neutral-signature metrics in general, due to the difference in the group of frame transformations, it is possible that the neutral signature metric require a higher number of covariant derivatives to complete the equivalence algorithm. In the context of the Ricci-flat Walker metrics this is a particularly relevant question as one cannot simply compare scalar curvature invariants to determine equivalence. [8]

7 Appendix: Transformation Rules for Spin Coefficients

Consider the boosts in the two null planes, given by the following transfomation,

$$\{n_a, \ell_a, \tilde{m}_a, m_a\} \to \{An_a, A^{-1}\ell_a, B\tilde{m}_a, B^{-1}m_a\} \tag{26}$$

the spin-coefficients transform as:

$$\kappa_B = \tfrac{\kappa}{A^2B},\ \rho_B = \tfrac{\rho}{A},\ \sigma_B = \tfrac{\sigma}{AB^2},\ \tau_B = \tfrac{\tau}{B},$$
$$\tau'_B = B\tau',\ \sigma'_B = AB^2\sigma',\ \rho'_B = A\rho',\ \kappa'_B = A^2B\kappa',$$

$$\gamma'_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{D(A)+A\gamma'+A\tilde{\gamma}'}{A^2} + \tfrac{D(B)+B\gamma'-B\tilde{\gamma}'}{AB}\right],$$
$$\beta'_B = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{B(\Delta(A)-A\tilde{\alpha}'-A\beta')}{A} + \Delta(B) + B\tilde{\alpha}' - A\beta'\right],$$
$$\alpha'_B = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{\delta(A)-A\alpha'-A\tilde{\beta}'}{AB} - \tfrac{-\delta(B)+B\alpha'-B\tilde{\beta}'}{B^2}\right],$$
$$\epsilon'_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[D'(A) + A\epsilon' + A\tilde{\epsilon}' + \tfrac{A(D'(B)+B\epsilon'-B\tilde{\epsilon}')}{B}\right],$$

$$\tilde{\kappa}_B = \tfrac{B\tilde{\kappa}}{A^2},\ \tilde{\sigma}_B = \tfrac{B^2\tilde{\sigma}}{A},\ \tilde{\rho}_B = \tfrac{\tilde{\rho}}{A}\tilde{\tau}_B = B\tilde{\tau},$$
$$\tilde{\tau}'_B = \tfrac{\tilde{\tau}'}{B},\ \tilde{\rho}'_B = A\tilde{\rho}',\ \tilde{\sigma}'_B = \tfrac{A\tilde{\sigma}'}{B^2},\ \tilde{\kappa}'_B = \tfrac{A^2\tilde{\kappa}'}{B},$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}'_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{D(A)+A\gamma'+A\tilde{\gamma}'}{A^2} - \tfrac{D(B)+B\gamma'-B\tilde{\gamma}'}{AB}\right],$$
$$\tilde{\alpha}'_B = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{B(\Delta(A)-A\tilde{\alpha}'-A\beta')}{A^2} - \Delta(B) - B\tilde{\alpha}' + B\beta'\right],$$
$$\tilde{\beta}'_B = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left[\tfrac{\delta(A)-A\alpha'-A\tilde{\beta}'}{AB} + \tfrac{-\delta(B)+B\alpha'-B\tilde{\beta}'}{B^2}\right],$$
$$\tilde{\epsilon}'_B = \tfrac{1}{2}\left[D'(A) + A\epsilon' + A\tilde{\epsilon}' - \tfrac{A(D'(B)+B\epsilon'-B\tilde{\epsilon}')}{B^2}\right],$$

To produce a rotation about the null vector ℓ^a we make the transformation:

$$\{n_a, \ell_a, \tilde{m}_a, m_a\} \to \{n_a + \tilde{\mu}\tilde{m}_a - \mu m_a - \mu\tilde{\mu}\ell_a, \ell_a, \tilde{m}_a - \mu\ell_a, m_a + \tilde{\mu}\ell_a\} \quad (27)$$

while the spin-coefficients transform as

$$\kappa_R = \kappa,\ \rho_R = \rho - \mu\kappa,\ \sigma_R = \sigma + \tilde{\mu}\kappa,\ \tau_R = \tau + \tilde{\mu}\rho - \mu\sigma - \mu\tilde{\mu}\kappa,$$
$$\tau'_R = \tau' + D(\mu) - 2\mu\gamma' + \mu^2\kappa,$$

$$\sigma'_R = \sigma' - \Delta(\mu) + \mu D(\mu) - 2\mu\beta' - 2\mu^2\gamma' - \mu^2\rho + \mu^3\kappa + \mu\tau',$$
$$\rho'_R = \rho' - \delta(\mu) - D(\mu)\tilde{\mu} - 2\mu\alpha' + 2\mu\tilde{\mu}\gamma' - \mu^2\sigma - \mu^2\tilde{\mu}\kappa - \tilde{\mu}\tau',$$
$$\kappa'_R = \kappa' + \Delta(\mu)\tilde{\mu} - \mu\delta(\mu) + D'(\mu) - \mu\tilde{\mu}D(\mu) + 2\mu\tilde{\mu}\beta' - 2\mu^2\alpha' - 2\mu\epsilon'$$
$$+2\mu^2\tilde{\mu}\gamma' + \mu^2\tilde{\mu}\rho - \mu^3\sigma + \mu^2\tau - \mu^3\tilde{\mu}\kappa - \mu\sigma' + \mu\rho' - \mu\tilde{\mu}\tau',$$

$$\gamma'_R = \gamma' - \mu\kappa,$$
$$\beta'_R = \beta' + \mu\rho - \mu^2\kappa + \mu\gamma',$$
$$\alpha'_R = \alpha' + \mu\sigma + \mu\tilde{\mu}\kappa - \tilde{\mu}\gamma',$$
$$\epsilon'_R = \epsilon' - \mu\tilde{\mu}\gamma' + \mu\alpha' - \tilde{\mu}\beta' + \mu^2\sigma + \mu^2\tilde{\mu}\kappa - \mu\tilde{\mu}\rho - \mu\tau,$$
$$\tilde{\kappa}_R = \tilde{\kappa},\ \tilde{\sigma}_R = \tilde{\sigma} - \mu\tilde{\kappa},\ \tilde{\rho}_R = \tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\kappa},\ \tilde{\tau}_R = \tilde{\tau} + \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\sigma} - \mu\tilde{\rho} - \mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\kappa},$$

$$\tilde{\tau}'_R = \tilde{\tau}' - D(\tilde{\mu}) + 2\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\gamma}' + \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\kappa},$$
$$\tilde{\rho}'_R = \tilde{\rho}' + \Delta(\tilde{\mu}) - \mu D(\tilde{\mu}) + 2\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\alpha}' + 2\mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\gamma}' - \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\sigma} + \mu\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\kappa} + \mu\tilde{\tau}',$$
$$\tilde{\sigma}'_R = \tilde{\sigma}' + \delta(\tilde{\mu}) + \tilde{\mu}D(\tilde{\mu}) + 2\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\beta}' - 2\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\gamma}' - \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{\mu}^3\tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\tau}',$$
$$\tilde{\kappa}'_R = \kappa' - \Delta(\tilde{\mu})\tilde{\mu} + \mu\delta(\tilde{\mu}) - D'(\tilde{\mu}) - \mu\tilde{\mu}D(\tilde{\mu}) - 2\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\alpha}' + 2\mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\beta}' + 2\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\epsilon}'$$
$$-2\mu\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\gamma}' + \tilde{\mu}^3\tilde{\sigma} - \mu\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\tau} - \mu\tilde{\mu}^3\tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\rho}' + \mu\tilde{\sigma}' - \mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\tau}',$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}'_R = \tilde{\gamma}' + \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\kappa},$$
$$\tilde{\alpha}'_R = \tilde{\alpha}' - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\sigma} + \mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\kappa} + \mu\tilde{\gamma}',$$
$$\tilde{\beta}'_R = \tilde{\beta}' - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\gamma}',$$
$$\tilde{\epsilon}'_R = \tilde{\epsilon}' - \mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\gamma}' + \mu\tilde{\beta}' - \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\alpha}' + \tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\sigma} - \mu\tilde{\mu}\tilde{\rho} - \mu\tilde{\mu}^2\tilde{\kappa} + \tilde{\mu}\tilde{\tau},$$

To generate a rotation about n_a we may apply the prime operation [9] to the above spin-coefficients. Notice that $\mu' = -\mu$ and $\tilde{\mu}' = -\tilde{\mu}$, this is reflected in the resulting frame transformation on M

$$\{\ell_a, n_a, -m_a, -\tilde{m}_a\} \to \{\ell_a - \tilde{\mu}m_a + \mu\tilde{m}_a - \mu\tilde{\mu}n_a, n_a, -m_a - \mu n_a, -\tilde{m}_a + \tilde{\mu}n_a\}$$

to determine the effect of a null rotation about n on the spin-coefficients merely prime the above quantities. There are twenty-four discrete transformations that will be important, although it is best seen on the level of vectors on M as the interchange of the order of the four null vectors. As an example, consider the following transformation which is relevant for the subcase of Ricci-flat VSI Walker metrics we have been studying:

$$\ell^{a\times} = m^a,\ \ m^{a\times} = \ell^a,\ \ n^{a\times} = -\tilde{m}^a,\ \ \tilde{m}^{a\times} = -n^a.$$

Noting that the square of this transformation is identity, we may summarize the effect on the spin coefficients as:

$$\epsilon^{\times} = \alpha',\ \alpha^{\times} = \epsilon',\ \ \beta^{\times} = -\gamma',\ \ \gamma^{\times} = -\beta,$$
$$\kappa^{\times} = -\sigma,\ \ \rho^{\times} = \tau,\ \ \tau^{'\times} = \rho',\ \ \sigma^{'\times} = -\kappa',$$
$$\tilde{\epsilon}^{\times} = -\tilde{\beta}',\ \ \tilde{\beta}^{\times} = -\tilde{\epsilon}',\ \ \tilde{\alpha}^{\times} = \tilde{\gamma}',\ \ \tilde{\gamma}^{\times} = \tilde{\alpha}'$$
$$\tilde{\kappa}^{\times} = \tilde{\sigma}',\ \ \tilde{\sigma}^{\times} = \tilde{\kappa}',\ \ \tilde{\rho}^{\times} = -\tilde{\tau}',\ \ \tilde{\tau}^{\times} = -\tilde{\rho}'.$$

Although the priming operation leaves the formula unchanged for this example, this may not be the case with other re-orderings of the coframe.

Acknowledgements

The work was supported, in part, by NSERC of Canada (AC) and by the David and Faye Sobey Foundation (NM). DM would like to thank Peter Law for his concise and helpful remarks.

References

[1] A. Alcolado, A. MacDougall, A. Coley and S. Hervik, J. Geom. Phys. **62** 594 [arXiv:1104.3799].

[2] A. Coley, S. Hervik and N. Pelavas, 2009, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 025013 [arXiv:0901.0791] & 2006, *ibid.*, **23**, 3053 [arXiv:gr-qc/0509113]

[3] V. Pravda, A. Pravdova, A. Coley and R. Milson, 2002 Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 6213 [gr-qc/0209024]; A. Coley, A. Fuster, S. Hervik and N. Pelavas, 2006, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 7431; A. Coley, 2008, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 033001 [arXiv:0710.1598].

[4] S. Hervik and A. Coley, 2011, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 015008 [arXiv:1008.2838] & [arXiv:1008.3021]; see also S Hervik and A. Coley, 2010, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 095014 [arXiv:1002.0505].

[5] S. Hervik, 2012, Class. Quant.Grav., **29** 095011.

[6] A. G. Walker, 1949, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford), **20**, 135 & 1950, *ibid.*, **1**, 69.

[7] R. Ghanam and G. Thompson, 2001, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 2266.

[8] D. McNutt, 'The Equivalence Problem for Neutral Signature Metrics'.

[9] P. Law, Journal of Geometry and Physics, **59** 1087 (2009).

[10] G. S. Hall, 'Symmetries and Curvature Structure in General Relativity' World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd (2004).

[11] Z. Wang, G.S. Hall, Journal of Geometry and Physics **66** 37-49 (2013).

[12] N. Musoke, 2013, Honours Thesis, Dalhousie University (2014).

[13] D. Brooks, 2013, Honours Thesis, Dalhousie University (2014).

[14] Handbook of Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry and Supersymmetry, European Mathematical Publishing House, editor: V. Cortés (2010).

[15] L. B. Bergery, and T. Krantz, 'Canonical Torsion-Free Connections on the Total Space of the Tangent and the Cotangent Bundle' [arxiv: 0904.4581] (2009)

[16] J. Davidov, G. Grantcharov, O. Mushkarov, 2008, *Geometry of neutral metrics in dimension four* [arXiv:0804.2132v1]

[17] A. Coley, S. Hervik, D. McNutt, N. Musoke and D. Brooks, 'Neutral Signature Walker-VSI Metrics', CQG, vol **31** pg 035015, (2013).